UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA RECEIVED USDC CLERK, CHARLEST ON.		N. SI
Anthony Ferguson, # 96339-071, Petitioner,	2005 MAY 18 P 4:) Civil Action No. 8:04-426-SB	12
-VS-	ORDER	
United States of America,	(
Respondent.)	

This matter is before the Court on the <u>pro se</u> Petitioner's request for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. By local rule this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks for initial review.

On April 2, 2004, the Magistrate Judge issued a report analyzing the complaint and recommending that the same be dismissed without prejudice, as the appropriate process for challenging the application of the Sentencing Guidelines is via a motion to vacate under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Attached to the report and recommendation was a notice giving the Petitioner 10 days in which to file written objections. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a <u>de novo</u> or any other standard, a magistrate judge's factual or legal conclusions. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Here, since objections were not filed, there are no portions of the report and recommendation to which a <u>de novo</u> review must be conducted. Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation is hereby adopted as the Order of this Court, and it is



8:04-cv-00426-SB Date Filed 05/18/05 Entry Number 7 Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring the Respondent to file a return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Sol Blatt, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

May <u>/8</u>, 2005 Charleston, S.C.

#